Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,451	WAKAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer T. Nguyen	2629
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Damond E. Vadnais</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jennifer Nguyen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 June 2006</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 153, and 155</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Yasutake</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Mr. Vadnais dissussed about the	differences between	in the claim
in vention and reference cited (Tasutake) Exam	niner suggested
to arrendment about how to calculate	e the designates	L oseas. Allart
for the official amendment		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	CL-9urun ature, it required